

Rehabilitation Reconsidered: A Comprehensive Legal Analysis

Muhammad Imran Khan¹, Saeedah Shah² and Sidra Kanwel³

Abstract

This research article delves into the multifaceted realm of rehabilitation from a legal perspective. Rehabilitation, a fundamental aspect of the criminal justice system, plays a pivotal role in promoting societal reintegration and reducing recidivism. This paper examines the legal dimensions of rehabilitation, including its conceptualization, implementation, and impact within the criminal justice context. The article begins by providing a comprehensive overview of the historical and philosophical foundations of rehabilitation in the context of the law. It delves into the changing paradigms of punishment and the evolving understanding of rehabilitation's role in promoting individual transformation and societal safety. Furthermore, the paper explores the legal frameworks and mechanisms that support rehabilitation within various legal systems, analyzing legislative provisions, case law, and the role of judicial discretion. It examines the challenges and opportunities presented by rehabilitative efforts, especially in balancing individual rights and societal interests. The discussion extends to critically examining the effectiveness of rehabilitation programs and initiatives in achieving their intended goals. Through a review of empirical studies and legal analysis, this article assesses the impact of rehabilitation on recidivism rates, public safety, and the overall success of the criminal justice system. The qualitative research methodology has been applied to the following article.

Keywords: Criminal justice, Legal framework, Legal perspective, Recidivism, Rehabilitation.

Introduction

Laws aim to establish and maintain the essential state of peace and calm. There are multiple hypotheses on the emergence of the legal system in a specific state. However, the law is a comprehensive system encompassing positive and negative features. From a positive perspective, it relates to determining the necessary actions.

Negative factors encompass what actions should be avoided. Several laws govern the rehabilitation system in Pakistan. These include the PPC, CrPc, Good Prisoner Release legislation, Probation of Offenders Act, and more. The question also arises as to whether these provisions adequately address rehabilitation. If there are any flaws, they can be rectified through a meaningful consultation and implementation procedure. In ancient times, conventions exerted significant influence over many governmental issues. People in society hold conventions in the greatest regard. In such a civilization, the legal system was primitive. When we examine the history of law, we find that a convention considered inviolable existed in Greece. Socrates received the chalice containing poison due to his defiance of the profoundly ingrained norms of his society.

Subsequently, numerous advancements occurred that solidified the legal system. The Romans made the most significant contribution in this regard. In the 16th century, we witnessed the development of the idea of severity and the ascent of the positive school of thought. These

¹Assistant Professor of Law, University of Sialkot, Pakistan. Email: ikb_73@live.com

²Assistant Professor, Department of Sociology, University of Sindh, Jamshoro, Pakistan. Email: Saeedashah6@gmail.com

³Lecturer, University of Sialkot, Pakistan. Email: sidrakanwel64@gmail.com

ideologies firmly established the notion that law is essential for the effective functioning of the state. The social contract hypothesis posits that the legal system results from the consent of the people, who have either implicitly or overtly acknowledged the presence of the law to establish a harmonious society. According to John Locke's social contract theory, the state of nature existed before the social contract and was characterized by the absence of legislative, executive, and judicial branches of government. After the state of nature transitioned, a new state emerged, distinguished by the existence of three state organs. According to the social contract theory, the state possesses the exclusive authority to establish laws within its jurisdiction.

It can be assumed that the state has the authority to create laws for all aspects of the state. From the perspective of social contracts, the state has emerged with dual objectives. Firstly, it has ensured the safety and protection of the individuals.

Secondly, to ensure that security states have the authority to enact legislation. When the state is granted the authority to safeguard the well-being of its citizens, it can achieve this by implementing a rehabilitation system that guarantees their security (Vitiello, 1990).

The positivist school of thinking emerged around the 16th century. From this viewpoint, laws are seen as a set of prohibitions enforced by the coercive authority of the state. This viewpoint presented the notion of compulsion underlying the legal system. If a law lacks these crucial features, it is not considered a law. From a certain viewpoint, the state possesses exclusive control over coercive authority. Max Weber likewise expressed a similar viewpoint when he stated that the state possesses the exclusive authority to exercise lawful aggression. According to this perspective, the state has the authority to create or abolish laws and compel individuals to comply with them. The concept is situated inside the framework of rehabilitation, where it becomes evident that the authoritative influence of the state consistently guides the system. Every agency or institution has the authority to offer the same service to everyone.

Therefore, the functioning of the legal system is a result of the Enlightenment and the Renaissance era that took place throughout the 16th and 17th centuries (Hussain et al., 2023).

The legal system of any country comprises two fundamental components: criminal and civil laws. The laws are characterized by significant divergence. However, their fundamental objective is establishing boundaries that no one must violate.

Individuals who violate the boundaries established by the law are regarded as delinquents and are subject to scrutiny by the criminal justice system. Criminals have violated the boundaries set by the laws and are considered eligible for punishment. The legal system also established specific institutions for the placement of transgressors. The restrictions within the legal system are integral to its substantive components. Therefore, the legal system encompasses the enforcement of prohibitions by the different officials of the state. The legal system of any country encompasses more than only prohibition. It includes a range of mechanisms and tools that establish the legality of particular actions as specified by the laws. In this context, the law serves as the tool for protecting and enforcing rights. For instance, the law grants individuals the right to vote, the right to participate in elections, the right to obtain bail, the right to due process of law, the principle of rule of law, equality before the law, and several other rights. There are mechanisms and instruments in place that facilitate the rehabilitation of criminals, both as a proper system and as a right. For instance, the reformation of offenders can be guaranteed by the establishment of effective legal frameworks and a prompt criminal justice system capable of addressing the increasing crime rates. The concept of rehabilitation should be regarded as a fundamental right for criminals, particularly when considering the shortcomings of the criminal justice system. In the same vein, the laws also impose specific obligations on individuals and public officials. The law mandates

that the police, judges, and other officials fulfill their responsibilities to administer the rehabilitation system effectively. Therefore, the legal system establishes rights and obligations that function harmoniously to create an optimal framework for rehabilitating criminals (Cullen & Gilbert, 2012).

Legal System for Rehabilitation of Criminal

The state is responsible for creating, modifying, and enhancing all aspects of the state, including crime, criminal behavior, and rehabilitation. Therefore, the legal system of the state and its contribution to the rehabilitation mechanism cannot be disregarded. There exist multiple paradigms, instruments, mechanisms, and processes for rehabilitation.

Every country establishes its own distinct legal framework to rehabilitate convicts. Each country has its unique system of rehabilitation. When considering this matter, factors such as the economic condition, the type of political system, and the sort of crime are taken into consideration. Developed countries have successfully implemented effective mechanisms for rehabilitation while developing countries face challenges in the rehabilitation process and experience flaws that burden their criminal justice systems (Andrews & Bonta, 2010).

The legal system of the country comprises two crucial components. Initially, it concerns the procedural elements of the state. The text concerns the specific approach implemented to address offenses. The method is expected to be equitable in accordance with the principle of due process of law. The legal system's second component encompasses the state's substantive dimension.

Regarding this matter, it is the utmost crucial element as the overall efficiency of the judicial system revolves around this feature. Every legal system includes a rehabilitation system that is an integral part of the substantive aspect of the legal system. Rehabilitation ultimately focuses on reintegrating the criminal and maximizing their long-term advantages. Therefore, many rehabilitation models and concepts are implemented in the rehabilitation process, which is influenced by several circumstances. An efficient judicial system can be an effective rehabilitation tool, playing a crucial role in the state (Khan et al., 2023).

Laws Pertain to the Rehabilitation of Criminals

The state is responsible for creating, modifying, and enhancing all aspects of the state, including crime, criminal behavior, and rehabilitation. Therefore, the legal system of the state and its contribution to the rehabilitation mechanism cannot be disregarded. There exist multiple paradigms, instruments, mechanisms, and processes for rehabilitation.

Every country establishes its distinct legal framework to rehabilitate convicts. Each country has its own unique system of rehabilitation. When considering this matter, factors such as the economic condition, the type of political system, and the sort of crime are taken into consideration. Developed countries have successfully implemented effective rehabilitation mechanisms, while developing countries face challenges in the rehabilitation process and experience flaws that burden their criminal justice systems.

The legal system of the country comprises two crucial components. Initially, it concerns the procedural elements of the state. The text concerns the specific approach implemented to address offenses. The method is expected to be equitable in accordance with the principle of due process of law. The legal system's second component encompasses the state's substantive dimension. Regarding this matter, it is the utmost crucial element as the overall efficiency of the judicial system revolves around this feature. Every legal system includes a rehabilitation system that is an integral part of the substantive aspect of the legal system. Rehabilitation ultimately focuses on

reintegrating the criminal and maximizing their long-term advantages. Therefore, many rehabilitation models and concepts are implemented in the rehabilitation process, influenced by several circumstances. An efficient judicial system can be an effective rehabilitation tool, playing a crucial role in the state (Covington & Bloom, 2003).

Good Prisoners Release act-1926

The Good Prisoners release acts pertains to the parole of the criminals. In this regard, each province's Reclamation and Rehabilitation departments deal with the parole system. These laws also provide for those who are earlier released from the prisons. The act also provides that the rehabilitation department ought to provide for the employment of the parolees for their proper reintegration into society. Like many other acts, this law could not be implemented in its letter and spirit.

Probation of Offender's act-1960

The Probation of offenders and the Probation of Offender Rule 1960 is the most legislation dealing with the supervision of the perpetrators. This statute also encompasses provisions for the Probation of the offenders in a thorough manner. The act is an essential component of several other laws, such as the Juvenile Justice Act of 2018.

JJS act -2018

The Juvenile Justice Act of 2018 is the pivotal legislation for reforming young offenders. Before that, the Juvenile Justice Ordinance of 2002 was in effect. It was implemented under the administration of Pervez Musharraf. The ordinance comprehensively outlined the numerous devices available for rehabilitating juvenile delinquents. However, the law could not be fully enforced according to its intended meaning and purpose. The ordinance was superseded by the Juvenile Justice Statute of 2018. It also addresses the rehabilitation of young delinquents in a similar manner. The act stipulates that its primary objective is to rehabilitate juvenile offenders rather than impose punitive measures. The legislation stipulated that the Probation of Offenders Act of 1960 must be essential to the law, and the probation officer's authority has been enhanced. Furthermore, conducting a distinct trial for juveniles is imperative, ensuring that their trial is not conducted in conjunction with adult offenders.

Therefore, the significance of the JJS-2018 must be considered. Despite the limitations in its implementation, this approach could ultimately play a crucial role in rehabilitating adolescent criminals in Pakistan (Hudson, 2016).

Presidential Pardons

The president serves as the chief executive of the nation. Article 90 of the constitution of Pakistan stipulates that the President's office holds all the states' executive power. The President has been granted various executive powers, including pardons to convicts. The presidential pardon has the potential to contribute significantly to the reintegration of offenders into society.

However, in the context of Pakistan, this method has yet to be employed. There have been numerous cases where offenders have suffered in jail due to the absence of this mechanism.

Prison acts

Regarding the rehabilitation of criminals within prisons, the prison system and its policies are responsible for facilitating their rehabilitation. It facilitates segregation, early release, and a system

of education, among other things. Nevertheless, these actions were only partially implemented according to their intended meaning and purpose, resulting in certain flaws that require repair.

Whether the Legal System Ineffective to Deal with the Rehabilitation

Regarding the existence of laws, the country has a multitude of different laws. However, the existence of legislation does not guarantee the efficacy of the rehabilitation system. Dr. Ishrat Hussein, in his book "Governing the Ungovernable," asserts that Pakistan excels in creating legislation. The true difficulty arises when it comes to the execution of the laws. Therefore, the issue lies in the need for more determination to enforce different laws in their genuine form and essence.

Therefore, the inquiry arises as to whether the current legal system in the country is efficient in addressing the process of rehabilitation and whether or not it has led to the successful reintegration of offenders in the long term (Khan et al., 2022).

The government has multiple laws that facilitate the rehabilitation of convicts. The Good Prisoner Release Act of 1926 and the Probation of Offenders Act of 1960 are the most significant laws in this context. However, analyzing these laws shows that they have rarely been employed to rehabilitate offenders.

The issue at hand pertains to both the execution of the task and the insufficiency of personnel. Therefore, one could contend that the twin laws have been relatively ineffective in addressing the rehabilitation of convicts (Hussain et al., 2023).

Furthermore, the process of rehabilitation is implemented while the individual is incarcerated. The country's jail legislation and rules stipulate the necessity of having segregated prisons, an education system, open prisons, and vocational training.

However, such occurrences are infrequent within jails. Instead, there are cases where prison authorities administer severe punishments to inmates. Additionally, there are instances of mortality occurring within the confines of the correctional facility. Therefore, instead of offering rehabilitation, it has exacerbated the issues faced by the criminals (Lipsey & Cullen, 2007).

The primary concern is the stigma attached to individuals who engage in criminal activities. The process of rehabilitation remains unfinished at the jail level. There should be mechanisms at the neighborhood level. Several laws mandate that the probation and parole officer oversee the completion of the rehabilitation process within the community. Therefore, the rehabilitation system has also proven ineffective, as evidenced by its performance. The country has experienced increased recidivism (Khan et al., 2022).

Reforming the Legal System

Pakistan is a nation that operates under a democratic system, and it is currently undergoing a process of transitioning to a democratic form of governance. Pakistan is a member of the United Nations (UN) and has ratified several international human rights instruments, including the UN Declaration on Human Rights (1948), the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (1966), and the UN Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment. Several issues on the ground suggest that ongoing adjustments in the criminal rehabilitation system are necessary.

For the reforms to be implemented effectively, they must be approached comprehensively and follow democratic principles. The objective of rehabilitation and society's overall stability can only be ensured under these circumstances (Sveaass, 2013).

When implemented on a solid foundation, the rehabilitation system may effectively reintegrate criminals into society, foster their sense of responsibility, and ultimately contribute to the stability of the community. Since gaining independence, numerous and occasionally divergent attempts have been made to implement reforms in critical rehabilitation areas. Diverse committees, commissions, and tribunals presented distinct proposals for the revamp of the system. Nevertheless, the laws inside the rehabilitation system cannot be disregarded.

In order to effectively rehabilitate convicts, several measures must be implemented. In order to achieve that objective, it is necessary to implement changes based on specific ideas (Khan et al., 2021).

Rehabilitation should be given top priority in the system. Since the country gained independence, the government's neglect has led to shortcomings in the rehabilitation system. Not only did it excessively burden the criminal justice system, but it also had a lasting impact on the country's economic landscape. The initial step is for the administration to demonstrate a readiness to prioritize the rehabilitation system and implement the required reforms in accordance with democratic principles. Therefore, it is imperative to implement novel approaches to rehabilitate offenders within the nation.

The second part of the rehabilitation process is embracing the need for enhancing the training of individuals involved in the rehabilitation process. The rehabilitation system and facilities for criminals should be equipped with contemporary technology, necessitating an enhancement in financial investment for the criminal rehabilitation system (Andrews & Bonta, 2010).

Conclusion

This study looked at the intricate interactions between the legal system and rehabilitation within the criminal justice system. During the research, we investigated the philosophical, historical, and legal foundations of rehabilitation, concentrating on how it has evolved over time to promote human development and uphold public safety. With an emphasis on how judicial discretion shapes rehabilitation outcomes, we have examined the legal frameworks, statutory legislation, and legal precedents that support rehabilitation programs. The study has made clear the challenges and opportunities associated with balancing private rights and public interests within the legal system. The effectiveness of rehabilitation programs in achieving their intended goals has also been thoroughly evaluated, taking into account their impact on reoffending rates, public safety, and the general efficiency of the criminal justice system. Policymakers, lawyers, and researchers can get important insights from this thorough legal examination of rehabilitation, which makes it easier for them to understand the nuances of rehabilitation within the context of the criminal justice system. We may work to increase the efficacy of rehabilitation initiatives and support a more equitable and restorative criminal justice system by balancing the notions of fairness, individual liberty, and societal well-being. In a society where pursuing justice and reintegrating people into society are of the highest significance, this research study underlines the need for continual review and improvement of rehabilitation procedures from a legal perspective. We believe that the findings and analysis presented in this publication will inspire further research, thoughtful policy development, and improved legal procedures in the pursuit of an equitable and restorative criminal justice system.

References

- Andrews, D. A., & Bonta, J. (2010). Rehabilitating criminal justice policy and practice. *Psychology, Public Policy, and Law*, 16(1), 39.

- Covington, S. S., & Bloom, B. E. (2003). Gendered justice: Women in the criminal justice system. *Gendered justice: Addressing female offenders*, 3-23.
- Cullen, F., & Gilbert, K. (2012). *Reaffirming rehabilitation*. Routledge.
- Hudson, B. (2016). *Justice Through Punishment? Critique of the Justice Model of Criminal Conventions*. Macmillan Education, Limited.
- Hussain, N., Khan, A., & Chandio, L. A. (2023). Legal Safeguards against Mob Justice: An Analysis of Blasphemy Laws in Pakistan and International Human Rights Norms. *Al-Qamar*, 13-26.
- Hussain, N., Khan, A., Chandio, L. A., & Oad, S. (2023). Individual Criminal Responsibility for the Crime of Aggression: The Role of the ICC's Leadership Clause. *Pakistan Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences*, 11(1), 223-232.
- Khan, A. S., Bibi, A., Khan, A., & Ahmad, I. (2023). Responsibility of Sexual Violence Under International Law. *Journal of Social Sciences Review*, 3(1), 29-41.
- Khan, A., Bhatti, S. H., & Jillani, M. A. H. S. (2021). An overview on individual criminal liability for crime of aggression. *Liberal Arts & Social Sciences International Journal (LASSIJ)*.
- Khan, A., Iqbal, N., & Ahmad, I. (2022). Human Trafficking in Pakistan: A Qualitative Analysis. *Journal of Social Sciences Review*, 2(3), 257-268.
- Khan, A., Javed, K., Khan, A. S., & Rizwi, A. (2022). Aggression and individual criminal responsibility in the perspective of Islamic law. *Competitive Social Science Research Journal*, 3(1), 35-48.
- Lipsey, M. W., & Cullen, F. T. (2007). The effectiveness of correctional rehabilitation: A review of systematic reviews. *Annu. Rev. Law Soc. Sci.*, 3, 297-320.
- Sveaass, N. (2013). Gross human rights violations and reparation under international law: approaching rehabilitation as a form of reparation. *European journal of psychotraumatology*, 4(1), 17191.
- Vitiello, M. (1990). Reconsidering rehabilitation. *Tul. L. Rev.*, 65, 1011.